

Jungermannia handelii

1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: MED

2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: MEDITERRANEAN

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Sin especificar

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2: 0

2.3.2 Date of range determination:

2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range:

2.3.4 Range trend: Decreasing (-)

2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):

2.3.6 Range trend period:

2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend: Unknown

and/or specify

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
0	0	

2.4.2 Date of population estimation:

2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:

2.4.4 Quality of data on area:

2.4.5 Population trend: Decreasing (-)

2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):

2.4.7 Population trend period:

2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend: Unknown

and/or specify:

# Jungermannia handelii

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures:

2.4.11 Threats

## 2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):

2.5.3 Date of estimation:

2.5.4 Quality of the data:

2.5.5 Trend of the habitat: Decreasing (-)

2.5.6 Trend period:

2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend: Unknown

Other (specify):

## 2.6 Future prospects for the species:

### 2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):

2.7.2 Favourable reference population: 0

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional): Extinta

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Bad (U2)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Bad (U2)	